



## CHANGES TO MID-TERM NEEDS MEASURES METHODOLOGY POST REGIONAL WORKSHOPS

| ID | Measure   | Method or Threshold<br>Prior to Workshops  | Comment Received  | <b>Source</b><br>(Which Regional<br>Workshop Comment<br>Received) | Change to Measure following Workshops  |
|----|---|--|---|---|--|
| 1  | Percent of<br>Person-Miles<br>Traveled in<br>Excessively<br>Congested<br>Conditions<br>(PECC) | Option for PECC<br>Thresholds—60%, 75%, and 90% of<br>Posted Speed Limited               | Support for speed threshold of 75% of posted speed limited                              | Central Shenandoah  | Draft VTrans Needs are based on speed threshold of 75% of posted speed limited.  |
| 2  |   | Time period—weekday 6 am to 8 pm   | Ensure that weekend travel is captured  | Bristol, Fredericksburg,<br>Hampton Roads,<br>Richmond            | Threshold is based on weighted average of weekday and weekend.   |
| 3  |   | Time period—weekday 6 am to 8 pm   | Ensure that the measure captures seasonality  | Roanoke Valley,<br>Hampton Roads, Bristol                         | The method takes into account seasonable variations. Develop future method to reflect large variations between seasonal and non-seasonal traffic and their impact on the need identification method.   |
| 4  |   | Applied to Interstates and Select<br>Limited Access Facilities (LAF)                     | Request to clarify criteria<br>for selecting facilities as<br>limited-access            | Lynchburg,<br>Northern Virginia,<br>Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper  | Updated LAF list to include more segments (used a logic that allowed shorter segments of less than 10 miles if they connected to another LAF).   |
| 5  | Travel Time<br>Index (TTI)  | TTI threshold of 1.5   | TTI threshold of 1.5 is too high  | New River Valley  | TTI 1.5 or above for 1+ hours OR TTI 1.3 or above for 3+ hours.  |
| 6  |   | Values were based on weekday average   | Weekday average does not reflect peak period conditions                                 | Richmond, Roanoke   | (1) Modified period of analysis to 6 am to 8 pm. (2) Modified measure to reflect number of hours of congestion. The revised method reflects peak and non-peak conditions.  |
| 7  |   | TTI numbers were based on weekday average  | Weather-related incidents<br>and spot issues are major<br>contributors to unreliability | Bristol   | Please see change made to ID #12 below.  |
| 8  |   | Values were based on weekday average   | Request to consider weekend travel  | Roanoke   | Threshold is based on weighted average of weekday and weekend.   |
| 9  | Unreliable<br>Delay (UD)  | Cumulative number of hours that experience unreliable delay during weekday 6 am and 8 pm | The method does not capture peak hour or peak period delay                              | Northern Virginia,<br>Winchester-Frederick                        | New measure, Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR), reflects unreliable conditions for one or more hours. It allows the measure to capture peak-hour only traffic.  |
| 10 |   | Time period—weekday 6 am to 8 pm   | Ensure that weekend travel is captured  | Fredericksburg  | Threshold is based on weighted average of weekday and weekend.   |
| 11 |   | Annual average was calculated for each hour  | Consider the impacts of seasonal traffic patterns on reliability                        | Danville  | We confirmed that the method takes into account seasonable variations. We will need more time to develop a method to reflect large variations between seasonal and non-seasonal traffic and their impact on the need identification method.                      |
| 12 |   |  | The method does not capture non-recurring congestion or reliability issues              | Crater-Southside,<br>Roanoke, and others                          | We confirmed that the method takes into account non-<br>recurring congestion. We will need more time to develop<br>a method to capture large variations between recurring<br>and non-recurring congestion and their impact on the need<br>identification method. |
| 13 | Buffer Time<br>Index (BTI)  | The method conveys time buffer required to be on time 95% of time                        | The method is not fully reflective of unreliable conditions in different areas          | Fredericksburg  | New measure, LOTTR, reflects unreliable conditions for one or more hours.  |
| 14 | Passenger<br>and Intercity<br>Rail On-Time<br>Performance                                     | The measure reflects on-time performance against agency-adopted thresholds.              | Consider ridership to ensure that needs are based on impacts                            | Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper                                      | We are currently limited by data availability, but would like to work with Amtrak and VRE to conduct this analysis in the future.  |

continued



| ID | Measure  | Method or Threshold<br>Prior to Workshops  | Comment Received   | <b>Source</b><br>(Which Regional<br>Workshop Comment<br>Received) | Change to Measure following Workshops  |
|----|--|--|--|---|--|
| 15 | Competitive-<br>ness of Transit<br>Access to<br>Activity Center<br>for Workers | List of existing Activity Centers  | Requests to add Activity Centers   | Lynchburg, Hampton<br>Roads, others                               | Added several additional Activity Centers.   |
| 16 |  | List of existing Activity Centers  | Request to add airports with commercial services and regional hospitals  | Winchester-Frederick,<br>Lynchburg                                | Added airports with commercial services and regional hospitals.  |
| 17 |  | Location of existing<br>Activity Centers   | Concern that the locations shown on the map might not be accurate or precise   | Hampton Roads   | Reanalyzed and corrected Activity Center locations.  |
| 18 |  | Activity Center specific transit access deficit was shown in three different colors  | Recommendation to portray and describe this measure differently  | Roanoke   | The name of this measure was changed to "Competitiveness of Transit Access to Activity Centers for Workers."   |
| 19 |  | Access deficit was based on the total number   | Recommendation to review<br>the method — different activity<br>centers need different number<br>of workers   | Lynchburg,<br>Winchester-Frederick                                | The method was modified to normalize transit access deficit by the total employment at Activity Center.  |
| 20 |  |  | Recommendation to review data as there were some unexpected results  | Richmond  | The analysis was redone to ensure greater accuracy.  |
| 21 |  | Access to the nearest bus stop is limited to walk-only   | Concern that the method does<br>not reflect trip-chaining where<br>a user might rely on micro<br>transit or access to park and<br>ride facilities  | Hampton Roads   | Additional work is required to develop tools and methods to reflect trip chains involving park and ride facilities, micro transit and other emerging mobility options. |
| 22 | Non-motorized<br>Access to<br>Activity<br>Centers for<br>Workers               | Walk and bike buffer around<br>Activity Centers  | Request to include non-<br>motorized access to transit   | Northern Virginia   | Non-motorized access to fixed-guideway and fixed-route transit service was included.   |
| 23 | Transit Access<br>for Equity<br>Emphasis Areas                                 | Disadvantaged block groups were identified where any one of the three conditions were met: (1) age; (2) income; and, (3) disability status | The term "disadvantaged" does not accurately convey the measure results  | Northern Virginia   | The measure name was changed to — "Transit Access for Equity Emphasis Areas."  |
| 24 |  | Bus stop within 1/4 mile from the<br>Block Group Centroid  | The method, block group<br>centroid, does not accurately<br>capture transit access for<br>irregular shaped block groups  | Northern Virginia   | The method for identification of such population was changed - half of the block group has to be outside the %-mile access to bus stop.                                |
| 25 |  | Disadvantaged populations<br>based on the following three<br>criteria: (1) age; (2) income; and,<br>(3) disability status                  | Recommendation to:<br>(1) include minority and<br>Limited English Proficient (LEP)<br>populations; and, (2) review<br>Metropolitan Washington<br>Council of Government's<br>(MWCOG's) method | Northern Virginia   | The method was changed to include Minority/Hispanic and LEP populations. It is now more aligned with MWCOG's method.   |
| 26 |  | Bus stop within ¼ mile from the<br>Block Group Centroid  | Criteria for areas served does<br>not take into account the<br>quality of transit service offered  | Fredericksburg  | We are currently limited by data availability and consistency, but would like to work with DRPT and transit service providers to develop a method for future work.     |
| 27 |  | Disadvantaged block groups were identified where any one of the three conditions were met: (1) age; (2) income; and, (3) disability status | Recommendation to include concentration, not just presence of one criteria   | Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper                                      | The method was changed to an index that takes into account the concentration of populations.   |

continued



| ID | Measure   | Method or Threshold<br>Prior to Workshops   | Comment Received   | <b>Source</b><br>(Which Regional<br>Workshop Comment<br>Received)           | Change to Measure following Workshops  |
|----|---|---|--|---|--|
| 28 | Access to<br>Industrial and<br>Economic<br>Development<br>Areas | Consider VTrans Needs for<br>IEDAs with Readiness level Tier 4<br>or higher   | Recommendation to consider<br>Tier 3 and higher  | Winchester-Frederick,<br>Hampton Roads,<br>Crater-Southside,<br>and others  | Recommendation to include Needs associated with sites Tier 3 and higher.   |
| 29 |   |   | Recommendation to also<br>include Enterprise Zones,<br>Opportunity Zones   | Winchester-Frederick,<br>Northern Virginia,<br>Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper | It is a good suggestion that we will consider for future updates.  |
| 30 |   | Office-only sites were included<br>based on data provided by<br>Virginia Economic Development<br>Partnership (VEDP) | VEDP sites are useful for industrial development but it could promote sprawl by incentivizing office development in rural areas without services | Winchester-Frederick  | Confirmed that VEDP's Business Ready Sites Program should not include office-only sites. VEDP will make the corrections.   |
| 31 |   | Method was to provide access to<br>sites with Readiness Level Tier 4<br>or higher                                   | Suggestion to incorporate<br>proximity to Surface<br>Transportation Assistance Act<br>and National Highway<br>System (NHS) highways systems      | Winchester-Frederick  | The method has been refined to provide access from the nearest Corridor of Statewide Significance that include NHS routes.   |
| 32 | No measure  | No method   | VTrans should include proactive system management related needs  | Fredericksburg  | Included Needs associated with Capacity Preservation.  |
| 34 |   |   | VTrans should include reliability<br>(on-time performance) for local<br>transit services   | Bristol, Central<br>Shenandoah  | We did not have a good statewide data source developed for this, but will consider for future updates.   |
| 34 |   |   | VTrans should include needs<br>associated with park-and-ride<br>and other Travel Demand<br>Management  | Central Shenandoah,<br>Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper                         | Included Needs associated with Transportation Demand Management.   |
| 35 |   |   | Consider scooters and emerging mobility options  | Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper  | Included Needs associated with emerging mobility options.  |
| 36 | Potential<br>for Safety<br>Improvement                          | The measure is based on Potential<br>for Safety Improvement (PSI) only  | VTrans Safety Needs should<br>include consideration for<br>non-motorized needs that may<br>not get highlighted based on<br>PSI-only method       | Bristol, Fredericksburg   | Included Needs associated with pedestrian safety (from Pedestrian Safety Action Plan).   |
| 37 |   |   | DUI or crashes that are related<br>to behavioral aspects should be<br>included in the analysis for PSI   | Northern Virginia,<br>Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper                          | It is a good suggestion and we will work towards implementing it. We were unable to complete this for this round of VTrans Mid-term Needs update.                      |
| 38 |   |   | Separate safety needs<br>for bicycle crashes should<br>be considered   | Winchester-Frederick  | It is a good suggestion and, while we are able to include pedestrian safety related considerations, we will need more time to develop needs related to bicycle safety. |
| 39 |   |   | Do not limit the PSI list to<br>the top 100 locations in<br>each district  | Danville  | Safety needs will not be limited to the top 100 locations within a construction district.  |
| 40 |   |   | Accidents causing injuries and fatalities should carry more weight   | Bristol   | In addition to the risk based method or PSI, VTrans Needs will also be based on fatalities and injuries.   |
| 41 |   |   | Consider severe injury similar to fatality due to impact on quality of life  | Roanoke   | Fatalities and severe (ambulatory) injuries will be grouped together.  |
| 42 |   |   | Identify clusters of fatal and injury crashes  | Richmond  | Method for Intersection Safety Needs captures crashes clustered within 250 feet of intersections.  |
| 43 | Urban<br>Development<br>Areas                                   | Locally determined needs shared via an online survey  | Request to extend survey completion data   | Charlottesville-<br>Culpeper  | Deadline to complete the survey was extended to ensure that more localities can provide needs.   |



